

# 2005-06 CONSOLIDATED GRANTS PROGRAM

## HANDOUT FOR PUBLIC WORKSHOPS ON THE DRAFT GUIDELINES

### ***FULL PROPOSAL ELIGIBILITY AND EVALUATION CRITERIA***

*(Refer to Appendix I of the draft Guidelines for more detailed information.)*

Appendix I-2 of the draft Guidelines contains the Full Proposal eligibility and evaluation criteria that will be used by reviewers. Section I-2 is broken into the following tables, which contain the Full Proposal criteria that will be used by reviewers to determine eligibility and score proposals. The maximum possible score is 118 points.

<b>FULL PROPOSAL EVALUATION TABLES</b>		
<b>TABLE</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	
<b>Table 1</b>	<b>Full Proposal Eligibility Review (all grant programs) (includes eligibility for Accelerated Selection and Contracting Procedure)</b>	<b>Eligible/Ineligible</b>
<b>Table 2</b>	<b>Full Proposal General Evaluation Criteria (all grant programs)</b>	<b>Maximum Score = 53</b>
<b>Table 3</b>	<b>Full Proposal Evaluation Criteria for Integrated Watershed Management Program Planning Projects</b>	<b>Maximum Score = 55</b>
<b>Table 4</b>	<b>Full Proposal Evaluation Criteria for Implementation Projects (for all grant programs except Integrated Watershed Management Program <u>Planning</u> Projects)</b>	<b>Maximum Score = 55</b>
<b>Table 5</b>	<b>Full Proposal Grant-Specific Evaluation Criteria:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Coastal Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Program</li> <li>▪ Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Program</li> <li>▪ Nonpoint Source Implementation Program</li> <li>▪ Urban Stormwater Program</li> <li>▪ Agricultural Water Quality Grant Program</li> <li>▪ Integrated Watershed Management Program</li> </ul>	<b>Maximum Score = 10</b>
<b>Table 6</b>	<b>Full Proposal Additional Information/General Program Questions (for Reviewers/Consensus Reviewers to complete)</b>	<b>Not Applicable</b>

# **2005-06 CONSOLIDATED GRANTS PROGRAM**

## **HANDOUT FOR PUBLIC WORKSHOPS ON THE DRAFT GUIDELINES**

### **SCORING**

Unless otherwise noted, each criterion will be scored on a scale of 0 to 5, 0 to 10, or 0 to 15 with a 0 being “low” and a 5, 10, or 15 being “high.” Points are then assigned to the Full Proposal for each criterion, as indicated in the Full Proposal Scoring Table below.

<b>FULL PROPOSAL SCORING TABLE</b>			
<b>Score Range</b>			<b>Scoring Rationale</b>
<b>0-5</b>	<b>0-10</b>	<b>0-15</b>	
<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>Criterion is fully addressed and supported by logical rational.</b>
<b>3-4</b>	<b>7-9</b>	<b>10-14</b>	<b>Criterion is fully addressed but marginally supported by logical rational.</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>4-6</b>	<b>5-9</b>	<b>Criterion is marginally addressed and marginally supported by logical rational.</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>1-3</b>	<b>1-4</b>	<b>Criterion is marginally addressed and not supported by logical rational.</b>
<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>Applicant is not responsive (i.e., the criterion is not addressed and no rationale is presented).</b>